



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

52

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,790	08/01/2001	James Bryce Sutherland	OR02-13301	5181

51067 7590 05/03/2005

ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION
c/o A. RICHARD PARK
2820 FIFTH STREET
DAVIS, CA 95616-2914

EXAMINER

HWANG, JOON H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2162

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/920,790	Applicant(s) SUTHERLAND, JAMES BRYCE	
	Examiner Joon H. Hwang	Art Unit 2162	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15, 17-29 and 31-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16 and 30 is/are ~~withdrawn from consideration~~ *Cancelled*.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15, 17-29 and 31-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

[Signature]
JEAN M. CORRELLUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The applicant amended claim 1, 10, 17, 24, and 31-36 and canceled claims 16 and 30 in the amendment received on 10/12/2004.

The pending claims are 1-15, 17-29, and 31-36.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed in the amendment received on 10/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants added in claims 1, 10, 17, 24, and 31-36 the limitations of retrieving the objects involves automatically generating the (nested) query, whereby the objects can be retrieved without requiring the user to provide an explicit list of the retrieved objects. These limitations are addressed in the following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-15, 17-29, and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Srinivasan (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,309).

With respect to claim 1, Srinivasan teaches generating a retrieval query to read target objects for a collection of source objects, the collection of source objects having

Art Unit: 2162

many-to-many relationships with the target objects, the collection of source objects and target objects being respectively stored in one or more source tables and target tables in the database, and the many-to-many relationship being defined in the database by using an intermediate join table of the source tables and the target tables (abstract, fig. 1, fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 21-46 in col. 4, lines 31-44 in col. 6, lines 27-40 in col. 7, lines 20-28 in col. 8, lines 50-62 in col. 9, lines 7-20 in col. 11, lines 29-43 and 59-67 in col. 12, and line 40 in col. 18 thru line 27 in col. 20). Srinivasan teaches selecting join table information from the many-to-many join table relating to the collection of source objects and target objects to enable matching of the target objects and the source objects using the join table information (fig. 1, fig. 7, lines 21-46 in col. 4, lines 31-44 in col. 6, lines 27-40 in col. 7, lines 20-28 in col. 8, lines 50-62 in col. 9, lines 7-20 in col. 11, lines 29-43 and 59-67 in col. 12, and line 40 in col. 18 thru line 27 in col. 20). Srinivasan teaches retrieving the matched target objects by executing the retrieval query on the database (fig. 1, fig. 7, lines 17-28 in col. 3, lines 21-46 in col. 4, lines 31-44 in col. 6, lines 27-40 in col. 7, lines 20-28 in col. 8, lines 50-62 in col. 9, lines 7-20 in col. 11, lines 29-43 and 59-67 in col. 12, and line 40 in col. 18 thru line 27 in col. 20). Srinivasan teaches retrieving the objects involves automatically generating the query, whereby the matched target objects can be retrieved without requiring the user to provide an explicit list of the matched target objects (lines 41-49 in col. 7).

With respect to claim 2, Srinivasan teaches specifying batch (prefetch) readable relationships on a source query for reading the collection of source objects, generating a nested query for reading related objects nested in the target objects, appending query

information of the target objects to the nested query, and retrieving the related objects by executing the nested query (fig. 1, fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 43-56 in col. 2, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 1 in col. 4 thru line 40 in col. 7, lines 4-28 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, lines 29-43 in col. 12, lines 12-23 in col. 13, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 3, Srinivasan teaches obtaining a source expression tree relating to the collection of the source objects, building a target expression tree defined by the many-to-many mapping including a join between the target tables and the join table, combining the source expression tree and the target expression tree to produce a combined expression tree, and generating the retrieval query based on the combined expression tree (fig. 1, fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 43-56 in col. 2, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 1 in col. 4 thru line 40 in col. 7, lines 4-28 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, lines 29-43 in col. 12, lines 12-23 in col. 13, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 4, Srinivasan teaches obtaining the target expression tree from mapping meta-data which contains information as to how object classes and relationships of the object model map to tables and foreign keys in the database (line 1 in col. 4 thru line 18 in col. 6 and line 27 in col. 7 thru line 28 in col. 8).

With respect to claim 5, Srinivasan teaches obtaining the target expression tree from mapping meta-data which includes a list of key and value pairs of the many-to-many join table (fig. 1, fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 43-56 in col. 2, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 1 in col. 4 thru line 40 in col. 7, lines 4-28 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14

Art Unit: 2162

in col. 10, lines 29-43 in col. 12, lines 12-23 in col. 13, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 6, Srinivasan teaches executing the retrieval query on the database for reading the target objects, obtaining target object information and join table information from the join table, and appending the target object information and join table information to the retrieval query (fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 43-56 in col. 2, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 1 in col. 4 thru line 40 in col. 7, lines 4-28 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, lines 29-43 in col. 12, lines 12-23 in col. 13, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 7, Srinivasan teaches the join table information including foreign key values and appending the foreign key values to the retrieval query (lines 43-56 in col. 2, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 1 in col. 4 thru line 40 in col. 7, lines 4-28 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, lines 29-43 in col. 12, lines 12-23 in col. 13, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 8, Srinivasan teaches appending the target table information and the join table information to a select clause of a select statement (fig. 19, lines 43-56 in col. 2, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 1 in col. 4 thru line 40 in col. 7, lines 4-28 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, lines 29-43 in col. 12, lines 12-23 in col. 13, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 9, Srinivasan teaches obtaining the target objects, populating relationships of the source objects with the target objects by comparing a primary key value of each source object with a foreign key value of each target object

Art Unit: 2162

using the foreign key values stored in the retrieval query, and matching each source object with matched target objects (lines 43-56 in col. 2, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 1 in col. 4 thru line 40 in col. 7, lines 4-28 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, lines 29-43 in col. 12, lines 12-23 in col. 13, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 10, Srinivasan teaches obtaining nested specification information representing joins relating to a source object and related objects which are joined with the source object with multi-level relationships (fig. 1, fig. 7, lines 43-56 in col. 2, line 1 in col. 4 thru line 18 in col. 6, lines 28-40 in col. 7, lines 14-28 in col. 8, lines 10-21 in col. 9, lines 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, lines 29-43 in col. 12, lines 12-23 in col. 13, and line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20). Srinivasan teaches obtaining parent query information representing a parent query for reading one or more parent objects at a parent level, generating a nested query for query objects of next lower level which is next lower than the parent level, appending to the nested query the parent query information and the joins using the nested specification information, and retrieving the objects of next lower level by executing the nested query on the database (fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 20 in col. 6 thru line 26 in col. 7, lines 4-13 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21). Srinivasan teaches retrieving the objects involves automatically generating the nested query, whereby the objects can be retrieved without requiring the user to provide an explicit list of the objects (lines 41-49 in col. 7).

With respect to claim 11, Srinivasan teaches obtaining the nested specification information from mapping meta-data which contains information as to how object classes and relationships of the object model map to tables and foreign keys in the database (line 1 in col. 4 thru line 18 in col. 6 and line 27 in col. 7 thru line 28 in col. 8).

With respect to claim 12, Srinivasan teaches specifying batch (prefetch) readable relationships to the parent query for allowing batch reading of the related objects (line 27 in col. 7 thru line 28 in col. 8 and line 50 in col. 9 thru line 4 in col. 11).

With respect to claim 13, Srinivasan teaches determining the batch readable relationships based on the bested specification (fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 20 in col. 6 thru line 26 in col. 7, lines 4-13 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 14, Srinivasan teaches setting automatic batch (prefetch) reading for automatically generating the nested query for reading objects of lower levels (fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 20 in col. 6 thru line 26 in col. 7, lines 4-13 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

With respect to claim 15, Srinivasan teaches generating a single query for each type of relationships at each level (fig. 4, fig. 7, lines 17-28 in col. 3, line 20 in col. 6 thru line 26 in col. 7, lines 4-13 in col. 8, lines 10-21 and 50-62 in col. 9, lines 6-14 in col. 10, line 40 in col. 18 thru line 65 in col. 20, and lines 25-38 in col. 21).

Art Unit: 2162

5. Claims 17-23 are essentially the same as claims 1-9 except that it sets forth the claimed invention as a system rather than a method and rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

6. Claims 24-29 are essentially the same as claims 10-15 except that it sets forth the claimed invention as a system rather than a method and rejected for the same reasons as applied hereinabove.

7. The subject matter of claims 31-33 are rejected in the analysis of claim 1 above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

8. The subject matter of claims 34-36 are rejected in the analysis of claim 10 above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of


Art Unit: 2162

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joon H. Hwang whose telephone number is 571-272-4036. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00(M~F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN E BREENE can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joon Hwang 
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2100

4/26/05


JEAN M. CORRIELUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER